UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/563,729	02/14/2008	Mou Chen	1322-001	1959
	7590 03/28/201 THENNISCH PC	1	EXAMINER	
29 W LAWREN	NCE ST		SUGARMAN, SCOTT J	
SUITE 210 PONTIAC, MI 48342			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2873	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/28/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Astion Comments	10/563,729	CHEN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Scott J. Sugarman	2873				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tind will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06</u>	January 2006.					
	nis action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow		osecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under	•					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>5-8</u> is/are pending in the application						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5-8</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	/					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 14 February 2008 is/a		d to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		•				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre		·				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	05 H 0 0 8 440/s	\				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(a) or (t).				
a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	ata baya baga ragaiyad					
	<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ol>					
<u> </u>	·					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr	•	ad in this National Stage				
application from the International Bure	, , , ,	ad.				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	st of the certified copies not receive	u.				
Attachment(s)						
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> </ol>	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Thotice of Informal i					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)  Other:					
S Patent and Trademark Office						

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Priority**

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 5-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chen et al (US 5,369,453). Chen et al teaches a system for correcting color blindness comprising: spectacles having a first and a second lens; where the first lens is configured to correct colorblindness and the second lens is configured to improve an overall brightness of vision (see col. 2, line 60-col. 3, line 49; col. 4, lines 33-62). Although Chen does NOT specifically state that *only* the first lens is configured to correct *only* color blindness, while *only* the second lens is configured to *only* improve an overall brightness of vision, rectifying color blindness and brightness are provided by both lenses, thereby satisfying the claim language. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have a first lens configured to correct colorblindness and a second lens configured to improve

an overall brightness of vision, since both lenses inherently do this. The system of Chen et al creates an individual spectral curve (noted in the passages listed above), where the color of a lens is adjusted using the individual spectral curve. As shown by Fig. 4, the lenses have an adjusted range of brightness varying from 500-600 nm. Note, the lenses are placed under a vacuum chrome plating process (col. 4, lines 56-62).

## Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Li Y et al (CN 1365654 A) is cited to show glasses for correcting color blindness and brightness.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Scott J. Sugarman whose telephone number is (571)272-2340.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ricky L. Mack can be reached on (571)272-2333. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/563,729 Page 4

Art Unit: 2873

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Scott J. Sugarman/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2873

sjs March 25, 2011